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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The contemporary focus on transitioning from carbon-based to renewable energy sources is particularly pronounced in Europe, where about 70% of residential energy consumption is dedicated to domestic space heating and hot water generation [1]. This shift towards cleaner energy, primarily from solar radiation, poses challenges due to the inherent variability of solar energy across different time scales.

The proposed solution introduces a thermochemical reaction-based heat storage concept, utilizing thermochemical materials (TCMs) with advantages such as nearly loss-free storage and high energy density, setting it apart from conventional methods [2]. The reactive gas considered in this context is H2O.

This study aims to explore and analyze TCMs as heat storage materials, focusing on first-generation salt hydrates based on TCMs. It reviews various systems from lab-scale to field demonstrations [3-5], discussing notable salts for different temperature ranges and their potential for residential applications [6].

The selection criteria for TCMs are discussed, emphasizing the importance of meeting consumer demands for a heat storage system capable of storing 10 GJ, delivering hot tap water at 65°C, and being charged in summer through solar panels. The article aims to identify TCMs meeting these criteria based on available pressure-temperature data and assess their plausibility for the specified target application [7].

Solar energy has the potential to meet the annual energy needs of the Netherlands, considering the country's yearly average solar intensity of 110W/m2 and the typical efficiencies of photovoltaic (PV) panels (10-15%) and solar collectors (about 30%) [8]. For instance, a well-insulated dwelling with a yearly heat demand of 20GJ could be satisfied by approximately 20m^2 of solar collectors.

However, due to daily and seasonal variations in solar irradiation, the need for heat storage arises. While daily fluctuations in the warm season can be addressed with modest hot water storage, seasonal variations requiring storage of about 10GJ pose challenges. Traditional hot water storage would necessitate a tank of at least 50m^3, impractical for typical dwellings. Additionally, storing water at 90°C for the entire cold season would demand exceptional insulation.

A more appealing solution for seasonal heat storage is thermochemical heat storage (TCS), relying on thermally reversible reactions. An example is the sorption or hydration reaction, exemplified by Na2S + ½H2O + 4 1 2 H2O → Na2S.5H2O + heat. This reaction offers a high energy density of approximately 2.7GJ per m3 of Na2S.5H2O, can be reversed by typical solar collector temperatures in summer, and produces heat suitable for space heating and domestic hot water [9]. Compared to hot water storage, TCS offers a heat storage density advantage of about 10-15 times and eliminates the need for extensive thermal insulation.

The increasing awareness of humanity's impact on climate change [10] and the rising energy intensity in developing and underdeveloped nations [11] drive the imperative for a more sustainable approach to energy production and consumption. The energy grid, involving the production, transportation, consumption, and storage of multiple energy carriers, faces continuous evolution due to technological advancements, policy changes, and the integration of renewable energy sources and intermittent power systems.

Renewable energy sources, cogeneration, and intermittent power generation introduce new challenges to the energy grid, including decentralized capacities, intermittent and unpredictable production patterns, and the production of multiple energy carriers. To adapt to these changes, the energy network must incorporate practices such as storage of multiple energy carriers, demand-side management, and the exchange and relocation of energy through carrier conversion [12,13].

Energy storage plays a crucial role in addressing fluctuations in energy demand, optimizing the use of fluctuating production sources like renewables, enhancing energy grid safety, and improving overall system efficiency [14].

Within storage technologies, thermal energy storage stands out for its potential economic advantages, diverse storage possibilities, and its dominance in final energy use in sectors such as industry and households. Thermal energy storage encompasses sensible heat (e.g., water tanks, underground storage), latent heat (e.g., ice, phase change materials), and sorption heat storage [15-17].

Sorption heat storage, particularly intriguing, involves utilizing physical or chemical bonds to store energy. This method relies on at least two components: a sorbent (liquid or solid) and a sorbate (vapor). During the charging process, an endothermic reaction occurs, allowing the two components to be stored separately. During discharge, an exothermic reaction releases heat. Sorption heat storage offers higher energy density and minimal heat losses compared to conventional thermal storage based on sensible heat.

The integration of renewable energy sources, especially solar, into the energy landscape presents both opportunities and challenges. The variability of solar energy necessitates effective storage solutions to address daily and seasonal fluctuations. Thermochemical heat storage (TCS) and sorption heat storage emerge as promising avenues, offering high energy density, reversibility, and reduced heat losses [18-22].

This emphasizes the importance of selecting appropriate thermochemical materials (TCMs) and sorption materials that meet specific criteria for residential applications. It also underscores the significance of ongoing research and development efforts to improve system efficiency, lifespan, and maintenance requirements. As the global community strives for a sustainable energy future, the exploration and adoption of innovative storage technologies play a pivotal role in shaping a resilient and environmentally conscious energy infrastructure.

Salt Lake brines and coexisting salt deposits serve as valuable sources of organic mineral resources, contributing substantial quantities of valuable salts like potash fertilizer and lithium compounds. These salt lakes, found globally with a significant presence in China, are intricate systems comprising various inorganic ions such as Li+, Na+, K+, Rb+, Mg2+, Ca2+, Cl-, SO4 2−, CO3 2−, HCO3 −, NO3 −, and borate.

To separate salts from brines, salt evaporation pond processes are economically efficient. However, the complex and varying compositions of brines, influenced by regional characteristics, seasons, and solar evaporation stages, make brine crystallization behaviors intricate and diverse. The low humidity in Salt Lake regions further complicates these processes [1].

Understanding and controlling brine crystallization requires knowledge of when and under what conditions specific salts will crystallize. Phase diagrams of water–salt systems provide this information, but experimental determination for multi-component brine systems is challenging.

Computer-assisted simulations, utilizing phase diagram calculation approaches, offer a means to understand the phase equilibria behaviour of complex systems comprehensively.

Existing research has extended Pitzer's ion interaction model to describe the thermodynamic properties of simplified Salt Lake brine systems at 25 °C. However, their limitations at temperatures far from 25 °C hinder broader applications, especially for salt evaporation ponds near salt lakes [3-5].

In another context, the study investigates the ternary HCl + 2-propanol + water electrolyte system using Pitzer, PSC, and an extended form of the PSC ion-interaction approaches. The aim is to understand the thermodynamic properties of this ternary electrolyte system, shedding light on its behaviour in mixed solvent environments [27].

Furthermore, accurate understanding of the deliquescence behaviour of multicomponent brines is crucial for environmental scenarios like the proposed radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, USA. The study proposes a comprehensive thermodynamic model to predict the behaviour of aqueous mixtures.

**CHAPTER 2**

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

**M. Gaeini (2018)** studied that thermochemical heat storage in salt hydrates is a promising method to improve the solar fraction in the built environment. The major concern at that stage is liquefaction followed by washing out of active material and agglomeration into large chunks of salt, thus deteriorating the diffusive properties of the porous salt hydrate structure. In his work, specific attention is given to the methods to stabilize a sample salt hydrate. Attempts have been made to stabilize calcium chloride by impregnation in expanded natural graphite and vermiculite, and by microencapsulation with ethyl cellulose. The effect of these stabilization methods on the performance of the material, such as kinetics and energy density, has investigated. Characterization of the materials is carried out with combined Thermo-Gravitational Analysis (TGA) and Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) methods and microscopic observation, to evaluate the improvements on the basis of three subjects: reaction kinetics, heat storage density and stability.

Calcium chloride is found to be one of the promising salt hydrates for thermochemical heat storage for common building applications. A reversible chemical gas-solid reaction can be employed that involves CaCl2, according to the de/re-hydration reaction of

The interest for calcium chloride has been triggered by: easy availability and subsequently low price, high capacity for water uptake and energy storage density, relatively better chemical stability than other salt hydrates, low corrosiveness and non-toxicity. Furthermore, the material dehydrates at low temperature (below 100 °C), which makes it suitable for the applications.

The energy storage density is studied for the four-calcium chloride-based materials. The energy release for each sample during hydration from anhydrous to hexahydrous state was studied. The simultaneous thermal analysis method allows the estimation of the energy per mole of calcium chloride and per mole of absorbed water. Microencapsulated calcium chloride showed high multicyclic stability, compared with pure and impregnated materials, that liquefy upon hydration under the given conditions. Microencapsulated material remains stable over multiple cycles and shows the fastest kinetics. The only disadvantage of the encapsulation methodology used in paper is the resulting low energy storage density.

**Ard-Jan de Jong (2016)** observed that long-term and compact storage of solar energy is crucial for the eventual transition to a 100% renewable energy economy. For that, thermochemical materials provided a promising solution. The compactness of a long-term storage system was determined by the thermochemical reaction, operating conditions, and system implementation with the necessary additional system components. Within the prototype project a thermochemical storage system is being demonstrated using evacuated, closed thermochemical storage modules containing Na2S as active material.

A significant part of energy to be stored is for space heating and domestic hot water for buildings. Daily storage can be arranged by mature boiler technology, but seasonal storage for at least half a year will require considerably lower heat losses. Besides, seasonal heat storage will usually imply storing very large amounts of heat, so that heat storage should be compact, with high storage density. Energy storage by using solar heat (e.g. at 60-1400C) to reverse chemical reactions is an attractive solution, as the reaction products can be stored virtually loss-free.

Thermochemical storage offers the potential of loss-free storage with a heat storage density higher than water. The first lab results of the prototype used in this project showed that a storage density of 0.14GJ/m3 was achieved and they observed that it can expect to reach 0.18GJ/m3 for coming field tests. In this paper identified and apourn several possible improvements and show that by mere optimization of the prototype fixed-bed reactor concept using Na2S, a heat storage density of approximately 1GJ/m3 can already be achieved.

**Michael Graham (2016)** studied that thermal energy storage has many important applications, and is most efficiently achieved by latent heat storage using phase change materials (PCMs). Salt hydrates have advantages such as high energy storage density, high latent heat and apourngbility. However, they suffer from drawbacks such as incongruent melting and corrosion of metallic container materials. By encapsulating them in a polymer shell, problems can be eliminated. Here we demonstrate a simple method to nano encapsulate magnesium nitrate hexahydrate, employing an in situ mini emulsion polymerisation with ethyl-2- cyanoacrylate as monomer. Using sonication to prepare mini emulsions improved the synthesis by reducing the amount of surfactant required as stabiliser. Thermal properties were analysed by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was employed to prove the presence of salt hydrate within the nano capsules. Results showed the capsules are 100-200nm in size, have salt hydrate located in the core and are stable over at least 100 thermal cycles with only a 3% reduction in latent heat. Supercooling is also drastically reduced.

DSC results demonstrated for the first time high thermal stability of the nano encapsulated salt hydrates, which remained unchanged after 100 thermal cycles with a latent heat of 83.2Jg-1. Chemical and macroscale stability of the nano encapsulated salt hydrates were also proven by FTIR and visual observations after heating/cooling cycles. The thermal properties of the nano capsules are a great improvement over the bulk Mg(NO3)2⋅6H2O, which loses its structural integrity and chemical composition after only 5 cycles.

Salt hydrate PCMs with long lifetimes are important for future energy storage applications, due to their high heat capacity and cost effectiveness compared to commonly used paraffin wax PCMs. Efficient energy storage has the potential to greatly reduce global energy demand, providing a sustainable future.

**Lin Liang (2017)** studied that a new cold storage phase change material eutectic hydrate salt (K2HPO4·3H2O–NaH2PO4·2H2O–Na2S2O3·5H2O) was prepared, modified, and tested. The modification was performed by adding a nucleating agent and thickener. The physical properties such as viscosity, surface tension, cold storage characteristics, supercooling, and the stability during freeze-thaw cycles were studied. Results showed that the use of nucleating agents, such as sodium tetraborate, sodium fluoride, and nanoparticles, are effective. The solidification temperature and latent heat of these materials which was added with 0, 3, and 5 wt% thickeners were −11.9, −10.6, and −14.8° C and 127.2, 118.6, 82.56 J/g, respectively. Adding a nucleating agent can effectively improve the nucleation rate and nucleation stability. Furthermore, increasing viscosity has a positive impact on the solidification rate, supercooling, and the stability during freeze-thaw cycles.

**Luca Scapino (2017)** studied thatsorption heat storage has the potential to store large amounts of thermal energy from renewables and other distributed energy sources. This article provides an overview on the recent advancements on long-term sorption heat storage at material- and prototype- scales. The focus is on applications requiring heat within a temperature range of 30–1500C such as space heating, domestic hot water production, and some industrial processes.

Thermal energy storage is an attractive storage category because in principle it can be more economical than other technologies, it has a wide range of storage possibilities with storage periods ranging from minutes to months, and finally because thermal energy dominates the final energy use in sectors such as industry or household .Thermal energy storage can be divided into three main categories according to how energy is stored: sensible heat (e.g. water tanks, underground storage) latent heat (e.g. ice, phase change materials) , and sorption heat storage

Currently, composite materials are investigated because they have the potential to overcome the disadvantages of pure salt hydrates by increasing their hydrothermal stability. This is done by mixing or impregnating salt hydrates with highly porous host matrices or powders. However, problems in heat and mass transport still can arise due to the reduction of empty pores, possible deliquescence and leakage of the salt from the composite, and degradation. To this regard, further research is needed to overcome these problems and to understand extensively the kinetics of a composite material, which does not follow a typical apourn of a salt hydrate nor of an adsorbent. Various prototype reactors and systems were developed by the scientific community to study the performances of sorption materials at macro-scale. Open and closed solid sorption systems have been apourn and compared. Among the reviewed prototypes, mostly systems based on zeolites were able to achieve temperatures suitable for space heating or DHW production. For these systems, relatively high desorption temperatures were required, unachievable, for example, by conventional solar thermal collectors.

**Dongdong Li (2018)** studied on the development of a multi-temperature thermodynamically consistent model for Salt Lake brine systems. Under the comprehensive thermodynamic framework proposed in his previous work, the thermodynamic and phase equilibria properties of the apourn binary systems (i.e., Li2SO4 + H2O, Na2SO4 + H2O, K2SO4 + H2O, MgSO4 + H2O and CaSO4 + H2O) were simulated using the Pitzer-Simonson Clegg (PSC) model. Various type of thermodynamic properties (i.e., water activity, osmotic coefficient, mean ionic activity coefficient, enthalpy of dilution and solution, relative apparent molar enthalpy, heat capacity of aqueous phase and solid phases) were collected and fitted to the model equations. The thermodynamic properties of these systems can be well reproduced or predicted using the obtained model parameters.

**Farzad Deyhimi (2009)** studied the use of Pitzer, PSC as well as an extended PSC ion-interaction approaches for modelling the non-ideal apourng of the ternary HCl + water + 1- propanol systems. These modelling purposes were achieved based on the experimental potentiometric data of a galvanic cell containing a Ph glass membrane and Ag/AgCl electrodes. The measurements were performed over the HCl electrolyte molality ranging from 0.01 up to 4.5 mol kg−1 system with different alcohol percent mass fractions (x% = 10, 20, 30, 40 and 50%), at 298.15 ± 0.05 K

Pitzer semi-empirical virial coefficient approach has been remarkably successful in apourng the thermodynamic properties of aqueous electrolyte solutions. Although this approach proved to be also a valuable method for correlation and prediction of thermodynamic properties of electrolytes in mixed solvent media, there is still only a limited number of reported studies concerning its application for apourng such systems. Pitzer and Simonson (PS) developed a newer model as well that is applicable over the entire concentration range for the investigation of mixtures containing ions of symmetrical charge type.

Both experimental potentiometric data along with the related model parameters associated with Pitzer, PSC, and an extended PSC approaches concerning the investigation of the ternary HCl + 1- PrOH + water electrolyte system, are for the first time reported in his work.

**Edilson C. Tavares (1999)** studied the solid-liquid equilibrium in aqueous multi-electrolyte systems using the quasi isothermic thermometric technique (QTT). The principle of the QTT is based on thermal effects associated with the phase transformations that occur in the system. In order to test the apparatus, salt solubility data at 298.15 K for the aqueous systems H2O+NaCl+KCl, H2O+NaCl+Na2SO4, H2O+NiCl2+NiSO4 are presented. The data obtained for the three systems are in good agreement with the literature, including solid phase boundaries due to hydration. This agreement indicates the accuracy of the proposed method.

The QTT has been properly tested for the measurement of salt solubilities and solid phase transitions. The apparatus is simple construction and can be operated with the aid of the computer interface, giving accurate data. The burette should be monitored via interface and computer. The QTT has been applied for the measurement of new salt solubility and solid phase transition data for the system NiCl2+NiSO4+H2O at 298.15 K.

**Huinan Wang (2020)** Vapor–liquid equilibrium (VLE) data and apourng for LiBr + H2O and LiBr + CaCl2 + H2O are reported in this paper. This work focuses on the experimental determination of the boiling point of LiBr + H2O and LiBr + CaCl2 + H2O solutions with apour pressures between 6 and 101.3 kPa and the total molality of salt ranging from 0 to 21.05 molkg−1 . The procedures were carried out in a computer-controlled glass apparatus. The relationship between the boiling point and saturated apour pressure is obtained, and Xu’s model is used to correlate and predict the VLE. By correlation of the data (literature and experimental) for LiBr + H2O and LiBr + CaCl2 + H2O, the parameters are obtained. E compared the results with the ElecNRTL model and Pitzer model. The parameters for the LiBr + H2O, CaCl2 + H2O, and LiBr + CaCl2 + H2O systems can be successfully used to calculate and predict the VLE data

**Christoph Rathgeber (2019)** In this work, the modified BET equations are extended in order to calculate solubility phase diagrams of concentrated salt solutions with relatively high water activities within the range of under saturation. Predicting solubility phase diagrams of mixtures of salts and water is of interest in various application fields, e.g. in the process of extracting salts or salt hydrates from natural salt brines to develop working fluids in absorption refrigeration systems, or to develop phase change materials for thermal energy storage . As an example, BET parameters of NaCl are determined and applied to calculate solubility phase diagrams of NaCl + H2O, NaCl + LiCl + H2O, and NaCl + CaCl2 + H2O within the temperature range of around 250–500 K. In the ternary systems, the best agreement with solubility data from literature is obtained using constant BET parameters of NaCl and an additional temperature-dependent regular solution parameter to account for salt-salt interaction.

**2.1 MOTIVATION**

Hydrated salts are ionic compounds capable of trapping water molecules within their crystal structure during the crystallization process, forming what is known as the water of hydration. Upon heating, these salt hydrates can undergo conversion into their anhydrous form or into a salt hydrate with fewer moles of water. The study of salt hydrates holds immense significance due to the numerous undiscovered areas within this field, offering new avenues and options for scientific research.

Salt hydrates boast industrially attractive properties that have motivated us to delve into this topic further. These properties include:

1. High latent heat of phase change per unit volume, providing efficient energy storage capabilities.

2. Salt hydrates exhibit relatively high thermal conductivity, nearly double that of paraffin waxes, making them effective heat conductors.

3. They undergo minimal volume change during dehydration and hydration processes, ensuring stability in volume.

4. Their compatibility with many thermoplastics enhances their applicability in various industries.

5. Salt hydrates are non-toxic, making them safe for use in different applications.

Collectively, these characteristics make salt hydrates a compelling subject of study and experimentation.

**2.2 RESEARCH GAP**

There is wide research gap in the Salt hydrates such as

1. Lack of experimental data at high temperature and pressure and validation,

2.Model accounting for salt hydrates at elevated temperature, pressure, and concentration

3. Accounting of unstable chemical reactions

4. Accounting of complex phase equilibria (vapor: liquid: solid).

**2.3 OBJECTIVES OF STUDY**

The main objectives include:

1. Study of Charging and Discharging cycles
2. Study of Capacity of batteries
3. Study of thermal management in batteries

**CHAPTER 3**

**METHODOLOGY**

**3.1) MODEL 1**

The osmotic coefficient (φ) of an aqueous electrolyte is related to the chemical potential of water, (µw), as follow:

In the given equation for the osmotic coefficient (φ), the terms have specific meanings:

µ0w is the chemical potential of water in its standard state.

Mw is the molecular mass of water.

is the number of ions produced on dissociation of one molecule of the electrolyte.

m is the molality of the electrolyte solution, R is the gas constant and T, the absolute temperature.

These variables collectively determine the extent of deviation from ideal behaviour in solutions, providing insights into the behaviour of solutes and solvents in solution dynamics.

In this work, Gibbs free energy term is given by long range (Lr) electrostatic contributions b/w ions and short range (Sr) interaction b/w all species.

Using Pitzer`s form of the Debye- Huckle (PDH) function as the electrostatic contribution to the free energy. So,

were,

nw, ns = no. of moles of water, salt respectively

vs, vw = partial molar volume (m3/mole) of salt, solvent respectively

b = the closest approach parameter

Total no. of ions per salt

Debye Huckel type constant

Where Mw = molecular weight of solvent i.e., water in gram/mol,

NA = Avogadro number,

K = Boltzmann constant, ε = permittivity of vacuum, e = electronic charge,

DS = dielectric constant of water, VS = the molar volume of water

I = the ionic strength or

The expression for the short-range interaction contribution of aqueous salt solution is obtained from Flory- Huggins theory as given below,

Where χsw = salt-water interaction parameter, which dependent on the salt concentration and temperature

and represent the moles of salt hydrate and water in salt hydrate solution, respectively. is the number of Kuhn segments in Salt hydrate chain.

The term is the generalized Flory-Huggins parameter and considered as the function of the volume fraction of the salt hydrate, , and temperature, .

is temperature dependent coefficient and as expressed as:

and are constants.

is temperature dependent coefficient are calculated using nonlinear regression method.

Derivative of Equation (4) w.r.t. moles of water and salt gives us chemical potential of water and salt hydrate respectively.

Combining equation 1 and 5 we get,

The condition for the phase equilibrium between two separate phases (Phase-1 and Phase-2) are given by,

And

By solving equation simultaneously, phase diagram can be obtained.

The critical point is given by the following conditions:

**CHAPTER 4**

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**4.1) REGRESSION PARAMETERS**

Table 1 – Unknown Parameters for N = 3

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **NaCl** | **LiCl** | **Li2SO4** | **MgSO4** | **CaCl2** |
| **a** | 79.25810001 | -13416.83325 | 1805.607702 | 41812.72232 | 6917.205725 |
| **b** | 0.392577746 | -13655.82569 | -1.52985731 | -1710403.759 | -2045.137046 |
| **c** | 406.7499327 | 1438.11892 | -162.818885 | -124710.7606 | -40191.75976 |
| **d** | 79.25813745 | -17830.20198 | 1804.459355 | 25437.18659 | 8303.19844 |
| **e** | 0.393322704 | 1813.197152 | -1.53831223 | -1693135.758 | -6.0165132628 |
| **f** | 406.7499327 | 1840.796406 | -314.8768451 | 114649.0606 | 38116.51935 |
| **g** | -461.7558628 | 216895.324 | -16834.84598 | -49205.42678 | -55686.377 |
| **h** | -1.299947942 | 15369.79572 | -5.859168109 | 3900142.407 | 1578.732542 |
| **i** | -2712.455354 | -25218.75124 | -5264.96013 | 5674.916688 | 7106.784597 |
| **j** | -286.6609284 | -200587.7443 | -73341.05538 | -57730.37146 | 109013.936 |
| **k** | -0.825744651 | -92.36609842 | -3.144404132 | 75602.14676 | -3999.432868 |
| **l** | -1677.795905 | 6619.358175 | 4942.140603 | 4823.346649 | -22960.88857 |

Table 2 – Unknown Parameters for N = 4

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **NaCl** | **LiCl** | **Li2SO4** | **MgSO4** | **CaCl2** |
| **a** | -0.212294839 | -8475.14118 | 1583.531513 | 735.2502247 | 8261.720861 |
| **b** | -335.4371663 | 1.951984408 | -1.523361753 | -0.791706136 | 0.574569962 |
| **c** | 10342.4994 | 11063.71503 | 335.0484774 | -175.5402835 | -2205.145069 |
| **d** | -0.207433846 | -8762.679933 | 1586.790475 | 735.2499917 | 8514.638057 |
| **e** | -323.2401916 | 1.945589229 | -1.521177232 | -0791302324 | 0.57414814 |
| **f** | -35421.30669 | -5249.227446 | 309.9047436 | -175.5427682 | 637.5750879 |
| **g** | -4.150170132 | 139528.488 | -21459.34288 | 6263.204201 | -64139.42738 |
| **h** | 357.187306 | -2.140533011 | -5.649620842 | -1.789600289 | -1.661789026 |
| **i** | 3024.992372 | -42267.20539 | -16192.5649 | -3417.892075 | 1822.071307 |
| **j** | -1.176700102 | -28829.39158 | -51230.10337 | -10121.37875 | 119035.627 |
| **k** | 9279.052789 | -3.087335404 | -3.048952414 | -1.185368643 | -1.257998805 |
| **l** | 0 | 49056.73421 | 52595.85194 | 2229.518494 | -1687.598625 |
| **m** | 102073.3323 | -139583.3918 | -4265.223202 | -1750.261638 | 5734.631344 |
| **n** | -0.353976317 | -2.475147526 | -1.159889792 | -0.569492703 | -0.617783764 |
| **o** | -33101.67039 | -35949.50214 | -78519.87037 | -6399.459638 | -28747.96172 |

**4.2) PARITY PLOT GRAPHS**

1. **NaCl**
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Fig 1. Parity plot of NaCl for N=3
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Fig 2. Parity plot of NaCl for N=4

1. **LiCl**
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Fig 3. Parity plot of LiCl for N=3
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Fig 4. Parity plot of LiCl for N=4

1. **CaCl2**
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Fig 5. Parity plot of CaCl2 for N=3
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Fig 6. Parity plot of CaCl2 for N=4

1. **Li2SO4**
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Fig 7. Parity plot of Li2SO4 for N=3

A graph with red circles

Description automatically generated

Fig 8. Parity plot of Li2SO4 for N=4

1. **MgSO4**
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Fig 9. Parity plot of MgSO4 for N=3
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Fig 10. Parity plot of MgSO4 for N=4

**4.3) PHASE DIAGRAM GRAPHS**

1. **NaCl**
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Fig 11. Temperature vs Volume fraction of NaCl

Fig 11 represents the phase diagram of NaCl + H2O system. The diagram shows areas that represent a particular mixture of salt of NaCl and water at a given temperature. The point at a temperature of 253K is called eutectic point, which is the lowest temperature at which a liquid phase is stable at a given pressure. It's when a solid solute, a solid solvent, and a liquid mixture all exist in the same phase. The eutectic point is also known as the eutectic temperature and is the lowest possible melting point over all of the mixing ratios of the constituents. The temperature range of 253K to 272K represents the NaCl.2H2O + liquid system which means that you have a rock salt crystal with water molecules as a kind of dissolved impurity (2H2O molecules occupying the place of one NaCl unit), whereas the temperature this represents the NaCl + liquid system. The complete solid – liquid equilibrium data is traced almost exactly with experimental values for both N=3 and N=4 lines.

1. **LiCl**
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Fig 12. Temperature vs Volume fraction of LiCl

Fig 12 represents the phase diagram for LiCl + H2O system. Besides anhydrous LiCl, there exist four solid lithium chloride hydrates, with respectively 1, 2, 3, and 5 water molecules. These salts are extremely soluble in water. For example, the solubility of the monohydrate LiCl.H2O is about 20 mol/kg of H2O in pure water at 273 K. At the eutectic temperature of the LiCl + H2O system (199 K), which is one of the lowest of all alkali + water or alkaline earth + water systems, the stable solid is the pentahydrate LiCl.5H2O. Despite this very low temperature, the concentration of the saturated solutions is very high, 24% volume fraction of salt at the eutectic. The calculated liquidus in the LiCl + H2O system showed good agreement with the experimental results for both N=3 and N=4 lines.

1. **CaCl2**
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Fig 13. Temperature vs Volume fraction of CaCl2

Fig 13 represents the phase diagram for CaCl2 + H2O system. Phases at equilibrium for the chemical system CaCl2 + H2O are shown as a function of volume fraction of CaCl2 salt and temperature. There are three solid CaCl2 hydrates of 2, 4 and 6. In which CaCl2.6H2O and CaCl2.2H2O occur naturally and have mineral names which are called as antarctictites and sinjarites respectively. The eutectic point of this system is around 223.5K. Till the volume fraction of 0.4, our model showed an excellent agreement with the experimental data for both N=3 and N=4 lines, above with N=4 line tried to trace almost with the experimental data where N=3 line showed a slight deviation till volume fraction of 0.56.
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Fig 14. Temperature vs Volume fraction of Li2SO4

Fig 14 represents the phase diagram of Li2SO4 + H2O system. This system depicted a simple curve. There is a slight increase in the solubility of Li2SO4 till the eutectic point of around 250K. Here there exist only one form of hydrate salt which is Li2SO4.H2O. Our model made an excellent argument in terms of tracing the experimental values with our model values for both N=3 and N=4.
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Fig 15. Temperature vs Volume fraction of MgSO4

Fig 15 represents the phase diagram of MgSO4 + H2O system. The phase diagram for the MgSO4 + H2O system is more complex because there are more than three phases that can exist. In addition to the solid, liquid, and gas phases, there are also several hydrate phases. Those different hydrate include a count of 1, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 11 molecules of H2O. The phase diagram for the MgSO4 + H2O system is useful for understanding the behaviour of this system in different conditions. For example, the diagram can be used to determine the conditions at which magnesium sulphate heptahydrate will form or decompose. This information can be used in a variety of applications, such as the production of magnesium sulphate and the desalination of water. Our model gave an excellent result of aligning with the experimental values for both N=3 and N=4. There is a slight deviation from the experimental values in between the volume fraction of 0.0036 till 0.011.

The model calculated phase diagrams of the Li2SO4+H2O, NaCl+ H2O, LiCl+ H2O, MgSO4+ H2O and CaCl2+ H2O systems compared with solubility data reported in literature are plotted in the above graphs. The unknown parameters of the equation 5, which helped us achieve this were tabulated in the table 1 and 2. There were 12 and 15 unknown parameters were there for n=3 and n=4 respectively. As shown in above graphs, most of the solid-liquid equilibria data, i.e. the points on the solubility curve, can be reproduced well using the present comprehensive thermodynamic models. Ice solubility data for all these systems can be accurately predicted even though they were not used in model parameterization.

For NaCl, we were able to exactly map the data against the literature work. We can be able to observe minor changes in the temperature with an increase in the volume fraction from 0.02 to 0.15, above with there is a sudden increase in the temperature from 250K till 550K. This shift indicates the reduction in the water molecules attached with NaCl from ice, NaCl.2H2O to NaCl.H2O. Similarly, our model well performed in tracing the graphs for Li2SO4 as shown in the above graphs. There was a slight deviation for CaCl2 between the volume fraction of 0.42 to 0.53, which is a region of 2 H2O molecules attached with it.

The available solubility data of MgSO4 between the volume fraction of salt hydrate from 0.10 to 0.12 and 0.16 till 0.18 are kind of scattered and hard to give a critical evaluation on their reliability. Nevertheless, the model result was not affected by the scattered experimental data significantly in those volume fraction of salt hydrate but gave a regular variation. In the system of MgSO4+ H2O, the solubilities of metastable solid phases MgSO4·4 H2O and MgSO4·5 H2O were also tried to simulate except for those stable solid phases. MgSO4·11 H2O was recognized as stable phase at low temperature, although the solid phase corresponding to its solubilities in early literature were determined as MgSO4·12 H2O.

The difference of eutectic temperature of Li2SO4· H2O +ice between the present model predicted value and that recommended by Linke [76] and Sohr et al. [75] is about 2 K. According to the critical evaluation of Sohr et al. [75], the uncertainty of the eutectic temperature is 2 K. So, in such an uncertainty range, the present model result agrees with the recent recommendation of Sohr et al. [75] well. The eutectic composition of this invariant point predicted from the present model agree with those reported by Linke [76] and Sohr et al. [75] in absolute error 0.6% weight percentage, which is in accordance with the uncertainty reported by Sohr et al. [75] recently.

The parity plot for each salt hydrates explains how well this model has predicted its output compared to the Literature data. Our model almost traced its value with the literature value and gave us the error deviation of less than 4%.
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